UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/570,736	03/07/2006	Carsten Schellenberg	CO2522947APCT	8745	
	324 7590 06/25/2008 JoAnn Villamizar			EXAMINER	
	on/Patent Department	MULCAHY, PETER D			
P.O. Box 2005	540 White Plains Road P.O. Box 2005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Tarrytown, NY 10591			1796		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/25/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/570,736	SCHELLENBERG ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	/Peter D. Mulcahy/	1796		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 № 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under No.	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 and 20-23 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 and 20-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed as a composition of the accomposition of the specific properties of the spec	cepted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/570,736 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-18 and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brener et al. US 5,476,882.
- 3. This patent teaches the stabilization of polymer microparticles. These microparticles are seen to read upon the claimed polymer carrier in that they are formed by heterophase radical polymerization and can have a particle size falling within the scope claimed, see column 2 lines 11-22, column 36 lines 54-68 and the claims. Polymerizing the microparticles in the presence of a light stabilizer is discussed in the abstract as well as column 1 lines 55-57. The use of the dispersion as a constituent in powder coating compositions (requisite claims 20 and 21) is suggested at column 41 line 64 through column 26. The only difference between the claimed invention and the art is the weight ratio of light stabilizer to polymer carrier. The claims recite 100 parts light stabilizer to 100 parts carrier. This is seen to read on compositions containing 50% light stabilizer and 50% carrier polymer. The art teaches 0.1 to 30% light stabilizer relative to the monomers, see column 36 lines 62-63. The art does not teach away from, or any disadvantages, for using more than 30% light stabilizer. It is reasonable to deduce that this is the amount at which economically viable compositions are obtained.

Application/Control Number: 10/570,736 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

One of ordinary skill would be motivated to use more than 30% light stabilizer in the event that cost were not a concern. The art does not teach that 50% or 60% (as requisite claim 3) are unobtainable or undesirable. As such, the claimed ratio is considered prima facie obvious.

4. The declaration has been fully considered. This compares compositions prepared while polymerizing the carrier in the presence of the light stabilizer with those having the light stabilizer add subsequent to the polymerization. This is not relevant to the rejection of record. The art shows the polymerization of the carrier in the presence of the light stabilizer.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to /Peter D. Mulcahy/ whose telephone number is 571-272-1107. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/570,736 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Peter D. Mulcahy/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1796